Criterion 1 - Curricular Aspects

| Key Indicator | 1.4 | Curriculum Enrichment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Metric | 1.4 .2 | Feedback processes of the institution may be classified as |
|  |  | follows: <br> A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and <br> feedback available on website |
|  |  | B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been <br> taken |
|  |  | C. Feedback collected and analysed   <br>   D. Feedback collected <br> E. Feedback not collected  |
|  |  |  |

## Feedback on Curricular Aspects Feedback Analysis Report

INDEX

| S.No | Department | Page No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Faculty of Architecture and Planning |  |
| 1 | 1. Department of Architecture | 3 |
| II | Faculty of Engineering and Technology |  |
| 2 | 1. Department of Aerospace Engineering | 5 |
| 3 | 2. Department of Biotechnology | 7 |
| 4 | 3. Department of Civil Engineering | 9 |
| 5 | 4. Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering | 11 |
| 6 | 5. Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering | 15 |
| 7 | 6. Department of Mechanical Engineering | 17 |
| III | Faculty of Computing Sciences and Engineering |  |
| 8 | 1. Department of Computer Science And Engineering | 19 |
| 9 | 2. Department of Computer Science And Application | 21 |
| 10 | 3. Department of Software Engineering | 25 |
| IV | Faculty of Humanities, Science and Management |  |
| 11 | 1. Department of Chemistry | - |
| 12 | 2. Department of Commerce | 27 |
| 13 | 3. Department of English | - |
| 14 | 4. Department of Education | 29 |
| 15 | 5. Department of Mathematics | - |
| 16 | 6. Department of Management Studies | 31 |
| 17 | 7. Department of Physics | 33 |
| 18 | 8. Department of Political Science | 34 |
| 19 | 9. Department of Social Work | 36 |

## I. Faculty of Architecture and Planning

## 1. Department of Architecture

Programme :B.Arch.- Architecture

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employer <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 6 | 10 | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4.0 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 4.0 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.8 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.5 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 4.0 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio ete. | 3.5 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture? | 3.3 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.8 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the <br> lecture? | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4.0 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.8 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.8 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 4.0 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4 ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil | Head of the Department, |

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects


Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 4.0 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.4 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adeguate to cover the syllabus | 4.0 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 4.0 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taugh. | 4.0 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 4.0 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 4.0 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.9 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 4.0 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association / Network of old friends | 4.0 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.95 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.4 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |



## II. Faculty of Engineering and Technology

## 1. Department of Aerospace Engineering

## Programme:B.Tech. Aerospace Engineering

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employer <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 4 | 5 | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. Ne. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.5 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.6 |
| 4. | The time alloted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.52 |
| 5. | Ihave acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.7 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.8 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.76 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.64 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.69 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Need calibration of lab equipment. <br> A course on speech communication can be given. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme | 4 |
| 2. | The students arc able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The labistudio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 4 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nil ${ }_{\text {Nil }}$ |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions The curriculum and syllabus may be framed as per AIC |  |

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 4 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready | 3.8 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.8 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.91 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.59 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.9 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.84 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus tanght. | 3.96 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.85 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> The students to be motivated to publish their research activity, technical activity and other <br> Aero related activities through magazines, newsletters etc. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.69 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.78 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastracture \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.51 |  |  |
| 4. | laculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.7 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taugh. | 3.78 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.64 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.57 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.61 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association / Network of old friends | 3.9 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.66 |



## 2. Department of Biotechnology

Programme:B.Tech. Biotechnology

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 5 | 3 | 5 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.7 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.7 |
| 4. | The time alloted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.7 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year, | 4 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.33 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 4 |
| 8. | Whether the teacber gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.8 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.33 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Staff may plan the classes in line with the course plan. <br> Syllabus can be modified to accommodate the syllabus of GATE and other competitive exams. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.7 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. <br> 3.The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programime | 3.7 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.7 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.7 |
| 6. | Labi Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |
|  | 3.7 |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Lab facilities has to be improved |  |

Lab facilities has to be improved

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.7 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employec <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.7 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.7 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 4 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques |  |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.7 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.69 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students <br> industry ready | 3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Courses that reflect the current employability skills have to be included in the curriculum. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.7 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.7 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 4 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 4 |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.3 |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.7 |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.7 |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 4 |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 4 |
| 10. | Alumni Association/ Network of old friends | 4 |
|  | Average score of all eriteria |  |



## 3. Department of Civil Engineering

Programme : B.Tech. Civil Engineering

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | 20 | 2 | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.81 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.45 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.81 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.54 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.54 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.65 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. <br> 8.Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.72 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.72 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.45 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Need of more awareness on outcome based education. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.88 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. <br> 3.The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.42 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.81 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.92 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.42 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Newer topics must be included that reflect the recent trends in the domain. |  |

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employce | 3.53 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready | 3.80 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.52 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.26 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.80 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.66 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.60 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught, | 3.78 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.62 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of $\mathbf{4}$ ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.26 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> More practical training can be included. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.83 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.67 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.92 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.88 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.90 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.74 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.80 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities |  |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.85 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association / Network of old friends | 3.72 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.58 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> More eftort has to be applied to strengthen Training and Placement. |  |

## 4. Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Programme: B.Tech. ECE

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 5 | - | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.5 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.5 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.5 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.6 |
| 5. | I have acguired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.5 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.5 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.5 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.2 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4 ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the <br> lecture? | 3.2 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.6 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.5 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.5 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.6 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | 3.5 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.58 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> MEMS and Wireless networks can be offered as elective courses. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.5 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.5 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.7 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.5 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.7 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.6 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.6 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.7 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association/Network of old friends | 3.5 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.58 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Courses can be introduced to improve the communication skills. |  |

r-wuevyine

## Programme : M.Tech. Nanotechnology (Integrated)

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 8 | 2 | 5 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.61 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.72 |
| 3. | Tcaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 4.00 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matehed my pace of learning. | 3.56 |
| 5. | 1 have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year: | 4.00 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.1 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.64 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.72 |
|  |  | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.1 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nanotechnology basic courses could be added in initial semesters |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score- <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4.0 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.72 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.5 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.2 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Labi Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |
|  | 3.65 |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of $\mathbf{4}$ ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus. | 3.2 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Nil

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.5 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.53 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.5 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.5 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates |  |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.66 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | Average score of all criteria |
|  |  | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Professional electives can be added based on industrial needs. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge | 3.6 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.7 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.9 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.8 |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.7 |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.5 |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.8 |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.9 |
| 10. | Alumni Association/Network of old friends | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.77 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Simulation courses to be added with molecular dynamic software |  |

## 5. Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Programme: B. Tech. EEE

| No. of Stadents <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 10 | 3 | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.81 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.51 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.81 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.54 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.54 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.65 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.72 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture? | 3.72 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.66 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Industry oriented and research focused Protessional Elective courses can be offered |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme. | (out 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme | 4 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.97 |

[^0]Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.54 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready | 3.6 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.6 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities Innovativeness, creativity | 3.6 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.8 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.6 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught. | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.72 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Courses that reflect the current employability skills have to be included in the curriculum. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge | 4 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 4 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.9 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 4 |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught. | 4 |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 4 |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.6 |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.8 |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 4 |
| 10. | Alumni Association/Network of old friends | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.93 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Recent tecthologies can be reflected in Professional electives. |  |

## 6. Department of Mechanical Engineering

Programme:B.Tech. Mechanical Engineering

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Ahumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 25 | 1 | 170 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.71 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.55 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.61 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.24 |
| 5. | Thave acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.64 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.75 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.82 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture? | 3.82 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.64 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.24 |
| Gencral Comments and Suggestions <br> Some advanced topies can be added in the curriculum. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.8 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.6 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lab/Studio and other facilitics are adequate. | 3.2 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Stream wise professional electives can be divided. |  |

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.3 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.33 |
| 3. | The lab/studioproject components have helped the employee <br> acguire adequate practical knowledge | 3.4 |
| 4. | Ability to manageleadership qualitics/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.2 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.66 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.6 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 4 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.56 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.2 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Some professional electives can be added based on industrial needs. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.6 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.7 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.9 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.8 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> tauht. | 3.8 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.7 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.2 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.8 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.9 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association/Network of old friends | 4 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.74 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Canteen Facilities | 3.2 |
| Gencral Comments and Suggestions <br> Some skill development courses can be added. |  |

Dr. D. JEYASIMMAN, M.E
Assminuw Professor a Head,
Departenent of Mechanical Eng? Pereyar Maolammai Institute of Science \& Technology. ZiwNJAVUR - G73.A.3.

## III. Faculty of Computing Sciences and Engineering

## 1. Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Computer Science and Engineering

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 7 | - | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4.0 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.76 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 4.0 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 4.0 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.86 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 4.0 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.8 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 4.0 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.93 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Need some more Practical classes |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4.0 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4.0 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.8 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4.0 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | 3.8 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.9 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 4.0 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.6 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 4.0 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 4.0 |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.8 |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.8 |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.8 |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 4.0 |
| 10. | Alumni Association / Network of old friends | 4.0 |
|  | Average score of all criteria |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Computer Programming can have latest languages such as python. <br> Students can implement Real time projects while learning the theory subjects. This will <br> improve their programming skills. |  |

Head of The Department, Department ol Computer Scienca and Engineexing. Periyar Maniammai insitite of Sllence and Technolog. Periyar Hagat: Vallam. 613403 . Thanjavur.

Tamil Nadu. India.

## 2. Department of Computer Science And Application

Programme: BCA

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | - | 3 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.6 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.3 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn casily | 3.6 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning, | 3.6 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.6 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.6 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions |  |
| Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.6 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.6 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.6 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.3 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | 3.6 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.5 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus. | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

## Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Score } \\ \text { (out of 4) }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn } \\ \text { the required skill and knowledge }\end{array}$ | 3.6 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.6 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are edequate to cover the syllabus | 3.6 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught |  |$] 3.6$.


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught. | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

[^1] Tamil Nadu. Inda,

Programme: MCA

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.6 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.6 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.6 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.6 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.6 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.3 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.6 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Depth of the course content \& project work / practical /studio etc. | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.6 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. <br> 3.The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.6 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.6 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilitics are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |
|  | 3.3 |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Ni]

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.6 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.6 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.6 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.6 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.6 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.6 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques <br> 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Recommended to arrange more skill developmental programs tobe strengthened <br> Advanced updated electives are needed. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Score } \\ \text { (out of 4) }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn } \\ \text { the required skill and knowledge }\end{array}$ | 3.6 |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.6 |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.6 |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught |  |$] 3.6$.


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught. | 3.3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

## 3. Department of Software Engineering

Programme : M.Sc. Software Engineering

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 8 | 2 | 15 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses heiped me to understand the programme well | 3.5 |
| 2. | The courses leamt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.75 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn casily | 3.5 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.5 |
| 5. | 1 have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.5 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.75 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.5 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Internet of Things and Mobile Application Development may be included. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspeets

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academie year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.5 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 4 |
| 4. | Stadents are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.75 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4$)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Big Data Analytics can be included. |  |

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of Software Engineering
Periyar Maniammal Institute of Srlence \& Techuclegy

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.5 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.75 |
| 3. | The lab/ studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3.5 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness. creativity | 3.5 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.75 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 3.25 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques | 3.5 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMUJ students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.5 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.5 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> More papers to improve communications skill can be included. |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 3.5 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.5 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.5 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.75 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taght. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adeguate. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilitics | 3.75 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.5 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.5 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association/ Network of old friends | 3.5 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Wireless Sensor Network paper can be included. |  |

- Wireless Sensor Network paper can be included.


## IV Faculty of Humanities, Science and Management

## 1. Department of Commerce

Programme: B. Com. Hons.

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 3 | - | - |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.85 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.71 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.57 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.85 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.42 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.71 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.85 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.57 | | Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified |
| :--- |
| Average score of all criteria |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.33 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.66 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.66 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.33 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

## Programme ; B, Com.

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | - | - |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.8 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.6 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.8 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.4 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.6 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.2 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture? | 3.6 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> $($ out of 4$)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.2 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.5 |
| 3. | The lab/studiopproject components are adequate for the <br> programme | 4 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 3.5 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |
|  | 3.66 |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

## 2. Department of Education

Programme:B.Sc.B.Ed.

| No. of Students feedback collected |  | No. of Teachers feedback collected | No. of Employers feedback collected | No. of Alumni feedback collected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  |
| Students Feedback on curricular aspects |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. No. Criteria |  |  |  |  | Average Score (out of 4) |
|  | The courses helped me to understand the programme well |  |  |  | 4 |
| 1. |  |  |  |  | 3.9 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the |  |  |  | 4 |
| 4. | Teaching learming process helped me to learn easity <br> The lime alloted for the courses matched my pace of learning. |  |  |  | 4 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. |  |  |  | 3.8 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. |  |  |  | 3.9 |
| 7. |  |  |  |  | 3.9 |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the <br> lecture? | 3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Facilitate the advanced laboratory equipment's for the practical purpose. |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.9 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. <br> LabiStudio and other facilities are adequate. | 4 |
| 6. | Average score of all criteria | 3.73 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| LabiStudio and other facilities are adequate. | 2.5 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Need some advanced lab instruments. |  |

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 4 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 3 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.9 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/stabordinates |  |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and leaming new techniques |  |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.8 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.8 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| The lab/studio/projeet components have helped the employee acquire <br> adequate practical knowledge | 3 |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Collahorative with inter disciplinary programs to the lab activities |  |

## 3. Department of Management Studies

## Programme: MBA

| No, of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 6 | 1 | 10 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score (out of 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.80 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 3.55 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 3.85 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.65 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.75 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the elasses held are in line. | 3.35 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.65 |
| 8. | Whether the leacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture? | 3.82 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.68 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Morc Industrial exposure can be given in the programme duration |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 4 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4 ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Classroom environment can be improved |  |

## Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.55 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.75 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adeguate practical knowledge. | 3.73 |
| 4. | Ability to managerleadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.70 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.75 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates |  |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques |  |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.60 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.81 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Spoken English of the students could be improved |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 4 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 4 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.9 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 4 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of hooks and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 4 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.6 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.5 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.8 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.9 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association/ Network of old friends | 4 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.87 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Mock Group Discussion and Personal Interview can be conducted more for enhancing the <br> skills of the students |  |

Mock Group Discussion and Personal Interview can be conducted more for enhancing the skills of the students

## 1. Department of Physics

## Programme: B.Sc. Physics

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 |  | - |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Seore <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4 |
| 2. | The courscs learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 4 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily | 4 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.66 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.66 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 4 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/stadio etc, | 3.66 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 4 |
|  | Average score of all eriteria | 3.87 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4 ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> New lab experiments can be added |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.66 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.66 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.66 |
| 6. | Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |
|  | 3.78 |  |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| Gencral Comments and Suggestions <br> Common title for language, allied papers and In-Plant Training for Science programmes |  |


2. Department of Political Science

Programme : M.A. Political Science (Integrated)

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 5 | 2 | - |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 4 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has contimuity with the previous year | 3.9 |
| 3. | Teaching learning process helped me to learn casily | 4 |
| 4. | The time alloted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 4 |
| 5. | I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this ycar. | 3.8 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 3.9 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio etc. | 3.9 |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.8 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.91 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects.

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 4 |  |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 4 |  |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.9 |  |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |  |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 4 |  |
| 6. | LabiStudio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |  |
|  | 3.96 |  |  |



## Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 4 |  |  |  |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have belped the employee <br> acguire adequate practical knowledge | 3.8 |  |  |  |
| 4. | Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 4 |  |  |  |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and learning new techniques |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.8 |  |  |  |
|  | Average score of all eriteria |  |  |  | 3.91 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Nil |  |

Dr. K.SELVAKUMF?
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## 3. Department of Social Work

Programme : Mater of Social Work

| No. of Students <br> feedback collected | No. of Teachers <br> feedback collected | No. of Employers <br> feedback collected | No. of Alumni <br> feedback collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The courses helped me to understand the programme well | 3.6 |
| 2. | The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year | 4 |
| 3. | Teaching learming process helped me to learn easily | 4 |
| 4. | The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. | 3.5 |
| 5. | 1 have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. | 3.6 |
| 6. | Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. | 4 |
| 7. | Depth of the course content \& project work/practical/studio eto. |  |
| 8. | Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of <br> the lecture? | 3.5 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> More of live case history and video presentation may be introduced in teaching learning <br> process |  |

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is <br> adequate for this programme. | 3.5 |
| 2. | The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. | 3.5 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the <br> programme | 3.5 |
| 4. | Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the <br> syllabus. | 4 |
| 5. | Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the <br> syllabus. | 3.5 |
| 6. | LabiStudio and other facilities are adequate. | Average score of all criteria |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> Field-based programmes and projects for the students were motivated to organize through <br> participatory learning activities and community engagement. |  |

Department of Social Work,

## Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee | 3.5 |
| 2. | The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making <br> students industry ready | 3.5 |
| 3. | The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee <br> acquire adequate practical knowledge | 4 |
| 4. | Ability to manage/lcadership qualities' Innovativeness, creativity | 3.5 |
| 5. | Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility | 3.5 |
| 6. | Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates | 4 |
| 7. | Open to new ideas and leaming new techniques | 3.5 |
| 8. | Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.5 |
|  | Average score of all criteria | 3.62 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> The students can be encouraged to have basic computer hands on training during their PG <br> Programme. This will improve their communication, reporting and documentation skills |  |

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

| S. No. | Criteria | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn <br> the required skill and knowledge | 4 |  |  |
| 2. | The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary | 3.5 |  |  |
| 3. | Infrastructure \& Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus | 3.5 |  |  |
| 4. | Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught | 3.5 |  |  |
| 5. | Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus <br> taught. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 6. | Training \& Placement is adequate. | 3.5 |  |  |
| 7. | Canteen facilities | 3.5 |  |  |
| 8. | Hostel facilities | 3.5 |  |  |
| 9. | Overall Rating of the University | 3.5 |  |  |
| 10. | Alumni Association/ Network of old friends | 4 |  |  |
| Average score of all criteria |  |  |  | 3.6 |


| Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | Average Score <br> (out of 4) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nil |  |
| General Comments and Suggestions <br> It is suggested to have continuous two to three days' fieldwork every week <br> An initiative can be laken for educational support to the poor students who are studying MSM |  |

Head,
Department of Social Work. Feriar Maniamme teritue of Steyce and Technthy


[^0]:    | Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Score } \\ \text { (out of 4) }\end{array}$ |
    | :--- | :---: |
    | Ni] |  |
    | $\begin{array}{l}\text { General Comments and Suggestions } \\ \text { Newer topics must be included that reflect the recent trends in the domain }\end{array}$ |  |
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