

**PERIYAR
MANIAMMAI**
INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
(Deemed to be University)
Established Under Sec. 3 of UGC Act, 1956 • NAAC Accredited
think • innovate • transform

Criterion 1 – Curricular Aspects

Key Indicator	1.4	Curriculum Enrichment
Metric	1.4.2	<p>Feedback processes of the institution may be classified as follows:</p> <p>A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and feedback available on website</p> <p>B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been taken</p> <p>C. Feedback collected and analysed</p> <p>D. Feedback collected</p> <p>E. Feedback not collected</p>

Feedback on Curricular Aspects Feedback Analysis Report Academic Year 2016-17

INDEX

S.No	Department	Page No.
I	Faculty of Architecture and Planning	
1	1. Department of Architecture	2
II	Faculty of Engineering and Technology	
2	1. Department of Aerospace Engineering	4
3	2. Department of Biotechnology	6
4	3. Department of Civil Engineering	8
5	4. Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering	10
6	5. Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering	14
7	6. Department of Mechanical Engineering	16
III	Faculty of Computing Sciences and Engineering	
8	1. Department of Computer Science And Engineering	18
9	2. Department of Computer Science And Application	20
10	3. Department of Software Engineering	24
IV	Faculty of Humanities, Science and Management	
11	1. Department of Chemistry	-
12	2. Department of Commerce	26
13	3. Department of English	-
14	4. Department of Education	29
15	5. Department of Mathematics	31
16	6. Department of Management Studies	33
17	7. Department of Physics	36
18	8. Department of Political Science	37
19	9. Department of Social Work	39

Department of Architecture

Programme : B.Arch. – Architecture

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
80	7	3	9

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.7
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.8

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.4
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4.0
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.8
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.8
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.8
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4.0
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.8

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Head of the Department,
Faculty of Architecture & Planning,
Periyar Maniammai
Institute of Science & Technology,
(PMIST), Periyar Nagar, Vallam,
Thanjavur-613 403, Tamilnadu.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects


S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.8
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4.0
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4.0
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4.0
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4.0
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.7
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4.0
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4.0
Average score of all criteria		3.9

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4.0
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.8
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.8
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4.0
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.8
7.	Canteen facilities	4.0
8.	Hostel facilities	4.0
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4.0
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4.0
Average score of all criteria		3.8

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.4
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	


 Head of the Department,
 Faculty of Architecture & Planning,
 Periyar Maniammai
 Institute of Science & Technology,
 (PMIST), Periyar Nagar, Vallam,
 Thanjavur-613 403, Tamilnadu.

Department of Aerospace Engineering

Programme : B.Tech. Aerospace Engineering

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of ² Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
38	5	4	8

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.91
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.56
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.69
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.9
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.7
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.51
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.69

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions To invite more industry persons and conduct seminars, workshop, lectures, and other industry relevant activities.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
Average score of all criteria		4

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
To add more Aero Management relevant courses in the curriculum.	

Department of Aerospace Engineering
Ysr Bharathiar Institute of Science & Technology
Pondicherry Nagar, Thanjavur - 613 403
(R. Karthikeyan)

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.7
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.78
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.56
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.79
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.8
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.62
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.98
Average score of all criteria		3.77

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions To provide Entrepreneurship skill and knowledge among the students. To include more industry based experiments.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.5
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.7
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.64
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.7
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.95
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.57
7.	Canteen facilities	3.7
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.6
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.9
Average score of all criteria		3.68

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions The University library has quality reference books and text books.	

Head
 Department of Aerospace Engineering
 YSR Manimammi Institute of Science & Technology
 Periyar Nagar, Vellam, Thanjavur - 613 403

(R.K. Muthuraman)

Department of Biotechnology

Programme : B. Tech. Biotechnology

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
25	5	3	4

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.7
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	4
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.7
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.7
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.7
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.7
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.3
Average score of all criteria		3.73

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Teachers can get the feedback before the commencement of new session	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.7
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.5
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.3
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.68

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.33
General Comments and Suggestions Extra reading other than the syllabus can be encouraged	

Head
Department of Biotechnology
Periyar Manjamma Institute of Science and Technology
K. V. S. T. (Deemed to be University)
Vellur, Thiruvallur - 613433, Tamil Nadu

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.5
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.7
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.7
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.67

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.5
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.7
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.7
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.7
7.	Canteen facilities	4
8.	Hostel facilities	3.5
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.3
Average score of all criteria		3.77

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Alumni Association/ Network of old Friends	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Effective communication among alumni can be initiated.	

Head
Department of Technology
Pattar Manimall Institute of Science and Technology
PMIST (Deemed to be University)
Vellare, Thanjavur - 613403, Tamil Nadu

Department of Civil Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Civil Engineering

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
45	20	2	12

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.84
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.64
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.84
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.64
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.62
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.64
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.74
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.74
Average score of all criteria		3.71

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions More practices required for problem based courses.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.78
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.81
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.96
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.44
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.82
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.90
Average score of all criteria		3.79

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus	3.44
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

PROFESSOR & HEAD,
Department of Civil Engineering
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology,
Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.72
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready.	3.63
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.70
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.66
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.88
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.66
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.53
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.92
Average score of all criteria		3.71

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Advanced updated electives are needed.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.58
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.92
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.90
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.78
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.45
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.88
7.	Canteen facilities	3.94
8.	Hostel facilities	3.85
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.97
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.76
Average score of all criteria		3.80

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.45
General Comments and Suggestions Gathering of information related to Industrial expectation is required.	


PROFESSOR & HEAD,
 Department of Civil Engineering
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology,
 Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403.

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. ECE

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
25	5	1	20

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.5
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.5
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.5
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.5
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Networking and OOPS courses can be offered.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.5
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.5
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	


 Head of the Department
 Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology
 Valluvar Thangaloor - 613 403

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.5
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.5
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.5
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.6
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.5
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate	3.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Minor practical courses can be added to give hands on experience	

V. Vignesh
 Head of the Department
 Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
 Jeyaraj Mahalingam Institute of Science and Technology
 Vayalur, Tirunelveli - 611 305

Programme : M. Tech. Nanotechnology (Integrated)

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
28	8	1	5

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.72
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.65
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.52
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.56
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.74
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.72
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.25
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.72
Average score of all criteria		3.66

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.25
General Comments and Suggestions Lab facilities are not sufficient enough.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4.00
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.78
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.72
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.63
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.52
Average score of all criteria		3.67

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

V. Venkatesh
Head of the Department
Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology
Valluam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Employers Feedback on-curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.52
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.75
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.3
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.42
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.63

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions	
Mini projects and project areas could be assigned based on Regional/national need	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.44
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.42
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.56
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.62
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.71
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.2
7.	Canteen facilities	3.3
8.	Hostel facilities	3.7
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.2
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
The core courses and core electives were organized well	

N. M. Vignesh

Head of the Department
Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
P. V. M. Mahalingam Institute of Science and Technology
Vavem, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. EEE

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
30	10	2	10

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.81
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.54
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.81
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.54
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.54
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.65
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.72
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.72
Average score of all criteria		3.66

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Professional Elective courses that focus current Industry needs as well as Research can be offered	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.8
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.97

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Topics that reflect recent trends in the Industry must be added.	


 Head of the Department
 Dept. of Electrical & Electronics Engg.,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology,
 Vallam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects


S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.53
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.63
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.5
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.68
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.8
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.66
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.68

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions New courses focusing the latest employability skills have to be included in the curriculum.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.8
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.8
8.	Hostel facilities	3.8
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.9
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
Average score of all criteria		3.93

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Subjects that highlight recent technologies can be included Core Electives	


Head of the Department
 Dept. of Electrical & Electronics Engg.,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology,
 Vattam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Mechanical Engineering

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
48	19	1	165

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.61
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.25
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.51
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.44
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.64
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.85
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.86
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.85
Average score of all criteria		3.62

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.25
General Comments and Suggestions New lab experiments can be added in the syllabus based on industrial needs.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.2
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.7
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.9
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.58

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.20
General Comments and Suggestions Some electives can be changed as core subjects based on its importance.	

Dr. D. JEYASIMMAN, M.E., Ph.D.
Associate Professor & Head,
Department of Mechanical Engg.
F. J. Maniappan Institute of
Science & Technology.

Jeyasimman

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.2
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.3
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.8
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.21
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.48

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.20
Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.21
General Comments and Suggestions Some new lab experiments can be added in lab courses.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.5
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.7
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.8
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.7
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.2
Average score of all criteria		3.54

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Alumni Association/ Network of old friends	3.2
General Comments and Suggestions Curriculum and Syllabus was very good and as per the norms.	

Dr. D. JEYASIMMAN, M.E., Ph.D.
Associate Professor & Head,
Department of Mechanical Engg.
Periyar Maniammai Institute of
Science & Technology

Jeyasimman P

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Computer Science and Engineering

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
25	10	-	15

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.8
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.8
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
Average score of all criteria		3.96

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Placement related coaching classes can be given in 1 st and 3 rd Saturdays	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.8
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.8
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.8
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions The content of Java Programming course is vast. It cannot be accommodated in 45 hours. Moreover, it does not have the practical component. Some of the contents of Software Engineering course need to be modified.	

Head of The Department,
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
 Periyar Nagar, Vallam - 613 403, Thanjavur.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.8
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.73
8.	Hostel facilities	3.8
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.86
Average score of all criteria		3.92

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions IOT concepts can be included in the curriculum to enrich their knowledge level.	


Head of The Department,
 Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
 Periyar Nagar, Vellam - 613 403, Thanjavur,
 Tamil Nadu, India.

Department of Computer Science and Application

Programme : BCA

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
10	3	2	3

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.6
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.3
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Head of the Department,
Department of Computer Science and Applications
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
Periyar Nagar, Vallam-613 403, Thanjavur,
Tamil Nadu, India.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.6
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.6
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.6
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.6
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.6
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.3
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Alumni Association/ Network of old Friends	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	


 Head of the Department,
 Department of Computer Science and Applications
 Periyar Maniammal Institute of Science and Technology
 Periyar Nagar, Vallom-613 403, Thanjavur,
 Tamil Nadu, India.

Programme : MCA

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
5	3	2	3

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.6
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.6
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.3
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Head of the Department,
Department of Computer Science and Applications
Periyar Mahammad Institute of Science and Technology,
Periyar Nagar, Vallam-613 405, Thanjavur,
Tamil Nadu, India

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects


S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
9.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
10.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.6
11.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
12.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.6
13.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.6
14.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
15.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
16.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.3
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.6
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	


 Head of Department,
 Department of Computer Science and Applications,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
 Periyar Nagar, Vellam-613 403, Thanjavur,
 Tamil Nadu, India.

Department of Software Engineering

Programme : M. Sc. Software Engineering

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
25	10	2	20

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.5
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.75
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.5
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.75
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.5
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.75
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions More Communication enrichment papers have to be included.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.5
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.75
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.8

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
Department of Software Engineering
Periyar Maniammai Institute of
Science & Technology

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.5
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.75
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.5
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.5
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.75
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.5
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.5
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Cyber Security paper has to be included.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.5
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.5
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.75
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.75
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.5
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.75
8.	Hostel facilities	4
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Big Data Analytics paper is to be included.	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
 Department of Software Engineering
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of
 Science & Technology
 Vellam, Thanjavur-613 403

Department of Commerce

Programme : B. Com. Hons.

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
4	3	2	1

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.75
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.75
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.5
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.75
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
Average score of all criteria		3.84

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.66
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.66
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.66
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
The curriculum and syllabus was framed as per UGC norms	

Dr. N. JAYANTHI
M.Com., M.Phil., MBA., PGDCA., Ph.D.,
Asst. Professor & HOD - Commerce,
St. Xavier's College, Palayamkottai

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.5
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.5
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.5
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.62

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.5
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.5
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.5
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
Average score of all criteria		3.67

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Training & Placement is adequate.	3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Dr. N. JAYANTHI
M.Com., M.Phil. M.A., PGDCA., Ph.D.

Programme : B. Com.

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected,	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
6	3	-	-

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.83
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.66
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.83
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.66
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.5
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
Average score of all criteria		3.66

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions More relevant topics / contents to be included in the course of study.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.33
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.66
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.66
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.66
Average score of all criteria		3.7

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.33
General Comments and Suggestions Latest topics to be included in the syllabus.	

Dr. N. JAYANTHI
M.Com., M.Phil., MBA, PGDCA, Ph.D.,
Professor & HOD - Commerce,
Science and Technology

Department of Education

Programme : B.Sc.B.Ed.

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
38	9	2	-

Students' Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.9
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.9
Average score of all criteria		3.85

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3
General Comments and Suggestions We want updated versions of computer lab	

Teachers' Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3
General Comments and Suggestions The faculty guide the students to appearing the employment opportunity.	

Head, Department of Education,
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	2.8
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	4
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.9
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	2.8
General Comments and Suggestions To develop students cooperative, collaborative and self-learning strategies.	


 Head, Department of Education,
 Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology,
 Vailam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Department of Mathematics

Programme : M.Sc. Mathematics

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
3	7	1	1

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	4
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
Average score of all criteria		3.9

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Courses prescribed during this period helped us to meet out the job requirements.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.8
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.71
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.57
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.8
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3
Average score of all criteria		3.48

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3
Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate	3
General Comments and Suggestions Theory cum lab syllabus can be introduced to motivate the students to strengthen the programming language part.	

Abanila P
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mathematics
 Periyar Maniammai

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.75
Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified		Average Score (out of 4)
The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge		3
Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates		3
General Comments and Suggestions		
Lab syllabus can be included		

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	4
8.	Hostel facilities	4
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
Average score of all criteria		4
Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified		Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
General Comments and Suggestions		
Syllabi are framed in par with national and international needs; also fulfil the employment requirement of the students.		

Dr. Amal
Professor & Head
Department of Mathematics
Periyar Maniammai
Institute of Science & Technology

Department of Management Studies

Programme : BBA

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
10	6	-	-

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.81
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.80
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.87
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.85
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.87
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.80
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.75
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.85
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Courses can be thought in Tamil also.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.85
Average score of all criteria		3.98

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions English communication need to be improved to students.	

Sathyapriya J
DR. SATHYAPRIYA J
 Associate Professor & HOD
 Management Studies

Programme : MBA

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
40	6	1	10

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.83
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.65
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.85
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.75
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.75
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.6
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.83
Average score of all criteria		3.7
Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified		Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
General Comments and Suggestions		
Cultural events can be organized		

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.85
Average score of all criteria		3.98
Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified		Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
General Comments and Suggestions		
Courses to inculcate research skills can be offered as value addition apart from the curriculum.		

Sathyapriya J
DR. SATHYAPRIYA J
 Associate Professor & HOD

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects


S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.65
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.75
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.75
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.70
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.75
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.60
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.82
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.75

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Industry exposure can be increased Corporate culture can be inculcated inside the campus as much as possible	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.8
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.52
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.8
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.9
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Steps can be taken to bring more companies for placement	


DR. SATHYAPRIYA J
 Associate Professor & HOD
 Management Studies

Department of Physics

Programme : B.Sc. Physics

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
3	3	-	-

Students Feedback on curricular aspects


S. No.	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	4
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.66
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.66
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
Average score of all criteria		3.92

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Advanced and innovative topics may be added.	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No.	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.66
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.66
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.66
Average score of all criteria		3.83

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions More value added course and open elective should be added	


 Head,
 Department of Physics,
 Institute of Science & Technology
 (PMIST) Periyar Maniammal
 Thiruvananthapuram

Department of Political Science

Programme : M.A. Political Science (Integrated)

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
45	5	2	-

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.9
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.8
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.8
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.91

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.9
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.9
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.9
Average score of all criteria		3.95


Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Dr. R. S. Sankaranarayanan
Asst. Prof / HOD
Department of Political Science
Ponjar Maniammai
Institute of Science & Technology

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.8
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.8
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.9
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.8
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.8
Average score of all criteria		3.88

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	


Dr. K.SELVAKUMAR,
 Asst. Prof / HOD
 Department of Political Science
 Periyar Maniammai
 Institute of Science & Technology
 Villupuram, Thanjavur-613 403.

Department of Social Work

Programme : Master of Social Work

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employers feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected
6	1	1	1

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.66
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.8
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
Average score of all criteria		3.8

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	

General Comments and Suggestions
HR Specialization based Guest lecture can be arranged for better understanding about the current scenario

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	

General Comments and Suggestions
Field-based programmes and projects for the students were motivated organizing through participatory learning activities and community engagement.

Head,
Department of Social Work,
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
Vallam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Employers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
Average score of all criteria		3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	2
8.	Hostel facilities	3
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
Average score of all criteria		3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
Encouraging educational support for economically poor students.	

Head,
Department of Social Work,
Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology,
Vallam, Thanjavur - 613 403.